New Cool YAHOO! Today's News More Yahoos

The Electronic Frontier Foundation

Explore by clicking here

Discussion Groups by Deja

Article 5 of exactly 19

[Text Only] [Help]

Previous Article | Next Article | Current Results | View Thread | Author Profile | Post via Deja News

Subject: Re: POSTED AT REQUEST OF DR. FETZER
From: Martin Shackelford <mshack@concentric.net>
Date: 1998/04/01
Message-ID: <3521D63B.6C177896@concentric.net>
Newsgroups: alt.conspiracy.jfk
[More Headers]

Typical Fetzer [a summary of his points, with a few comments in brackets]:
1) Don't believe the reviewer, buy my book.
2) It provides "decisive evidence."
3) Here's are some nit-picking examples ("complementary" isn't the right word, because I say so).
4) The reviewer (**Hal Verb**) raises superficial questions and points out "non-existent errors." (No examples given--just take my word for it).
5) **Verb** has not proven authenticity of the films, photos and X-rays to Fetzer's satisfaction, thus he has not done "an adequate job."
6) His criticisms are misunderstanding or "simply false."
7) RFK and JFK were "left wing politicians."
8) The Evelyn Lincoln letter isn't a fake [Here, I agree with Jim].
9) **Verb** is confused about the meaning of Twyman's comments on the Willis photo [Here, again, I agree with Jim].
10) **Verb** is incorrect to disagree that Walter Cronkite "studied the JFK assassination more than any other network newsperson."
11) **Verb** is wrong about Ron Hepler's article.
12) **Verb** is wrong about Chuck Marler's article, which is supported by David Mantik's article.
13) **Verb** agrees there were two head shots, but his version is wrong; his criticism of Mantik's actually applies not to Mantik, but to the Warren Commission.
14) The limousine stop "is a major reason for believing in film alteration."
15) **Verb**'s presumptions about the shot sequence are based on the Z film and are therefore undermined.
16) **Verb** is wrong about the tramps; they are Holt, Harrelson and Montoya/Rogers (relying on Lois Gibson, and personal contacts with

.olt). The arrest reports released in 1991 are "easily faked and have to be the least reliable evidence available." There is no other evidence of their authenticity. [Here, Jim limits himself to what was in the LaFountaine book; saying--twice--that they didn't even publish the arrest reports--but they were already in print in High Treason 2; he also ignored the televised interviews with Doyle; and a print interview with the other still-living member of the trio, as well as family confirmation of the identification of all three from Dealey Plaza photos. Either Jim is unfamiliar with the supporting evidence, or he is being deliberately misleading here. We may assume that he is familiar with HT2.]

17) **Verb** misreads what Mantik says about the Stemmons sign. [Possibly.]
18) **Verb** repeats the error from #9 above.
19) **Verb** is wrong about the back of the head wound.
20) **Verb** displays "incompetence regarding even elementary matters."
21): **Verb** has committed "serious sins of omission as well as sins of commission" and "overlooks or ignores large parts of both books."
22) **Verb** "asserts disbelief in X-ray alteration without offering any argument."
23) Of **Verb**'s eleven criticisms, 10 are false and one is unsupported.
24) **Verb** is sincere, but sincerity is not enough.
25) Mantik and Twyman have read this and agree with me.

Another classic Fetzer performance!

Martin

The Electronic Frontier Foundation

Explore by clicking here

Copyright © 1994-98 Yahoo! Inc. - Company Information - Help
Certain portions Copyright © 1995-98 Deja News, Inc. All rights reserved.